

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
7 EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
8

9 ROBERT JAMES STEWART,  
10 WES MARTINSON, *et al.*,

11 Plaintiffs,

12 v.  
13 CHERLAN COUNTY DISTRICT  
14 COURT, *et al.*,  
Defendants.

NO. CV-05-256-RHW

**ORDER STRIKING THE  
PLAINTIFFS' PLEADINGS AND  
DENYING MOTION FOR  
RECONSIDERATION**

Before the Court are various pleadings that have been filed by the Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs are proceeding *pro se*. Therefore, it is necessary for each Plaintiff to sign any pleadings that are filed in this cause of action that pertain to the particular Plaintiff. *See* Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 11(a). Instead of signing the pleadings, Plaintiffs used the /s/ notation, which is the signature notation that is used for electronic filing. This notation does not take the place of an actual signature when, as here, the documents are filed in paper format. Also, given the format of the notation, it appears that one person provided the /s/ notation on the pleadings in an attempt to circumvent the requirement of obtaining signatures from the Plaintiffs in this action. Plaintiffs are cautioned that failure to follow Fed. R. Civ. P. 11 could result in sanctions.

Accordingly, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:**

1. Plaintiffs' Motion for Order of Protection, of Injunction Prohibition, or Quo Warranto, or Mandamus (Ct. Rec. 2) is **DENIED**, for the reasons stated in

**ORDER STRIKING THE PLAINTIFFS' PLEADINGS AND DENYING  
MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION ~ 1**

1 Court Rec. 37.

2       2. The Court **strikes** the following pleadings:

3           a. Motion for Reconsideration (Ct. Rec. 49);

4           b. 3<sup>rd</sup> Request and Demand for All Elements of Discovery as in Class

5           Action (Ct. Rec. 59);

6           c. 3<sup>rd</sup> Request and Demand for All Elements of Discovery as in Class

7           Action (Ct. Rec. 60);

8           d. Motion with Subjoined Facts, Memorandum and Notice of Hearing

9           (Ct. Rec. 61);

10           e. Response to Motion for a More Clear and Definite Statement (Ct.

11           Rec. 58).

12       **IT IS SO ORDERED.** The District Court Executive is directed to enter

13       this Order and forward copies to counsel and Plaintiffs.

14       **DATED** this 28<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2005.

15

16           s/ ROBERT H. WHALEY  
17           Chief United States District Judge

18

19

20

21 Q:\CIVIL\2005\Stewart\ord.strike.wpd

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

**ORDER STRIKING THE PLAINTIFFS' PLEADINGS AND DENYING  
MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION ~ 2**